arXiv:hep-th/9303098v1 17 Mar 1993

Gauge covariance and the fermion-photon vertex in three- and four- dimensional, massless quantum electrodynamics

Conrad J. Burden

Department of Theoretical Physics, Research School of Physical Sciences and Engineering, Australian National University, GPO Box 4, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

Craig D. Roberts

Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439-4843

In the quenched approximation, the gauge covariance properties of three vertex Ansätze in the Schwinger-Dyson equation for the fermion self energy are analysed in three- and four- dimensional quantum electrodynamics. Based on the Cornwall-Jackiw-Tomboulis effective action, it is inferred that the spectral representation used for the vertex in the gauge technique cannot support dynamical chiral symmetry breaking. A criterion for establishing whether a given Ansatz can confer gauge covariance upon the Schwinger-Dyson equation is presented and the Curtis and Pennington Ansatz is shown to satisfy this constraint. We obtain an analytic solution of the Schwinger-Dyson equation for quenched, massless three-dimensional quantum electrodynamics for arbitrary values of the gauge parameter in the absence of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.

Preprint Number : PHY-7143-TH-93

Typeset Using *REVTEX*

I. INTRODUCTION

The Schwinger-Dyson Equations (SDEs) provide a valuable non-perturbative tool for studying field theories. Phenomena such as confinement and dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, which cannot be explained by perturbative treatments, can be understood in terms of the behaviour of particle propagators obtained by solving non-linear integral equations. However, the full set of SDEs for any particular field theory contains an infinite tower of equations and is thus intractible. A common approach for dealing with gauge field theories is to approximate the fermion-gauge boson vertex by a suitable Ansatz depending only on the dressed single particle propagators. The problem is then reduced to that of solving a finite set of coupled equations for the fermion and gauge boson propagators.

Ideally, of course, one would solve the SDE for the vertex itself. However, this equation involves the kernel of the fermion-antifermion Bethe-Salpeter equation which cannot be expressed in a closed form; i.e., the skeleton expansion of this kernel involves infinitely many terms. Some approximation or truncation of the system must therefore be made at a very early stage. An effective way to do this is to make an Ansatz for the vertex satisfying certain criteria which the solution of the vertex equation must itself satisfy. At the present time this latter approach is the most efficacious manner in which to proceed since it allows for a study of the relative importance of particular vertex characteristics while avoiding the technical difficulties associated with solving the vertex equation directly. However, we expect that it will soon be necessary to study the vertex equation itself in order to make further progress.

A primary purpose of this paper is to compare the effectiveness of three commonly used vertex Ansätze, specifically with regard to their ability to ensure the gauge invariance of the theory. We begin with the requirement that any acceptable Ansatz, $\Gamma_\mu(p,q)$, must satisfy at least the following criteria:

(a) It must satisfy the Ward-Takahashi (WT) identity;

(b) It must be free of any kinematic singularities (i.e., expressing $\Gamma_\mu(p,q)$ as a function of p and q and a functional of the fermion propagator, $S(p)$, then Γ_μ should have a unique limit as $p^2 \to q^2$);

(c) It must reduce to the bare vertex in the free field limit (i.e., when dressed propagators are replaced by bare propagators); and

(d) It must have the same transformation properties as the bare vertex, γ_μ, under charge conjugation, C, and Lorentz transformations (such as P and T, for example).

Criterion (b) follows from Ref. [1] and criterion (c) is related to this since together they are necessary to ensure that the vertex Ansatz has the correct perturbative limit. The charge conjugation element of criterion (d) is essential since it constrains the properties of $\Gamma_\mu(p,q)$ under $p \leftrightarrow q$.

One should also demand a further condition, namely that

(e) Local gauge covariance should be respected.

In fact, a criticism of the SDE approach to solving gauge field theory has been the apparent violation of gauge symmetry directly at the level of the equation being addressed. Ensuring gauge covariance of the solutions of the SDE goes some way toward answering this criticism and allowing for a direct comparison of SDE results with those obtained from lattice gauge theory, for example.

Although condition (a) is a consequence of gauge invariance, it is only a statement about the longitudinal part of the vertex, and says nothing about the transverse part. By itself it is insufficient to ensure contition (e) [2]. A well defined set of transformation laws which describe the response of the propagators and vertex in quantum electrodynamics to an arbitrary gauge transformation are given in an early paper by Landau and Khalatnikov [3] (LK). These laws leave the SDEs and the WT identity form-invariant and one can, in principle, ensure condition (e) by choosing an Ansatz for Γ which is covariant under the action of the LK transformations. Unfortunately, however, the transformation rule for the vertex is quite complicated, making this procedure difficult to implement. Here we will adopt a slightly different procedure. The LK transformation rule for the fermion propagator is relatively straightforward, and we are able to check *a posteriori* whether solutions for propagators obtained from a particular vertex Ansatz transform appropriately.

Herein we discuss three- and four- dimensional, Euclidean, quenched quantum electrodynamics (QED_3 and QED_4, respectively) and when discussing both we choose to work with four-component spinors [4]. (In formulating the theory in Euclidean space we adopt the strategy of Ref. [5].) In describing the theory as "quenched" we mean that fermion loop contributions to the photon propagator are ignored; i.e., vacuum polarisation corrections are neglected.

We remark that QED_3 has been much studied in recent years because of its similarities with quantum chromodynamics (viz. confinement and chiral symmetry breaking), because its dimensioned coupling provides a natural scale which makes it a useful tool for modelling theories relevant to unification and because it is not plagued by ultraviolet divergences. For our purposes, however, it is the fact that in both QED_3 and QED_4 the fermion SDE is solved by the combination of bare vertex and bare fermion propagator that makes these theories interesting. The LK transform of the bare fermion propagator from Landau to any other covariant gauge is readily found. Any Ansatz for the vertex which does not admit the transformed propagator as a solution for an arbitrary value of the gauge parameter can then be eliminated as a possible candidate and is unlikely to form a basis for a gauge covariant vertex in realistic models of non-Abelian theories.

We describe the vertex Ansätze we are considering in detail in Sec. II. The Ansatz of Ref. [6] is equivalent to that employed in recent studies of the SDE using the gauge technique [7,8]. (The "gauge technique" assumes that the elements of the SDEs, propagators, etc., have spectral representations in terms of which the SDEs are reformulated and then solved for directly.) We show in Sec. III, using the Cornwall-Jackiw-Tomboulis effective action [9] (of which the fermion SDE can

be interpreted as the Euler-Lagrange stationary point equation), that this vertex Ansatz cannot support dynamical chiral symmetry breaking simply because it leads to independent equations for the vector, σ_V, and scalar, σ_S, pieces of the fermion propagator, $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\, \sigma_V(p) + \sigma_S(p)$; the equation for σ_S being homogeneous. This is true of any Ansatz that yields independent equations for σ_V and σ_S in the chiral limit. (This is exemplified in the QCD model of Refs. [10].)

In Sec. III we discuss the fermion SDE in QED_3 and QED_4 in some detail and give numerical solutions to the QED_3 fermion SDE for various vertex Ansätze. In these studies we concentrate mainly on the case of no dynamical mass generation (although the vacuum of massless QED_3 is generally believed to be chirally asymmetric [4,11], as may be that of quenched QED_4 [12]) and demonstrate analytically that the vertex Ansatz proposed in Ref. [13] leads to a SDE which is solved by the LK transform of the bare vertex. The remaining two Ansätze, however, do not satisfy this test. The observation of LK covariance enables us to obtain an analytic solution to the quenched, massless SDE in QED_3 for arbitrary values of the gauge parameter in the absence of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking. We summarise our results and conclusions in Sec. IV. In an appendix we summarise the LK transformations for QED and give the LK transformed three-dimensional free massless fermion propagator for an arbitrary positive value of the covariant gauge parameter.

II. FERMION-PHOTON VERTICES

The most general form for a fermion-photon vertex satisfying criteria (a) to (d) above has been given by Ball and Chiu [1] and, in Euclidean space, it can be written as follows:

$$\Gamma_\mu(p,q) = \Gamma_\mu^{\rm BC}(p,q) + \Gamma_\mu^{\rm T}(p,q), \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_\mu^{\rm BC}(p,q) = {} & \frac{1}{2}\left[A(p) + A(q)\right]\gamma_\mu \\ & + \frac{(p+q)_\mu}{p^2 - q^2}\left\{\left[A(p^2) - A(q^2)\right]\frac{\left[\gamma\cdot p + \gamma\cdot q\right]}{2} - i\left[B(p^2) - B(q^2)\right]\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and $\Gamma_\mu^{\rm T}$ is an otherwise unconstrained transverse piece satisfying

$$(p-q)_\mu \Gamma_\mu^{\rm T}(p,q) = 0, \qquad \Gamma_\mu^{\rm T}(p,p) = 0. \tag{3}$$

$\Gamma_\mu^{\rm BC}$ is given in terms of the dressed fermion propagator

$$S^{-1}(p) = i\gamma\cdot p A(p) + B(p), \tag{4}$$

where A and B are scalar functions of $p^2 = p_\mu p_\mu$. Our Euclidean space γ-matrices satisfy $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$.

Chiral symmetry breaking in QED_3, both in its quenched form [2] and in the presence of dynamical fermions [14], has been studied with some success by arbitrarily setting the tranverse

part (3) of the vertex equal to zero. The remaining part, $\Gamma_\mu^{\rm BC}$, is the first of the three Ansätze we will consider herein. When dynamical mass generation is also allowed for it goes some way towards ensuring that the chiral condensate has only a weak dependence on the gauge parameter in QED$_3$ [2], and provides a value for the condensate in close agreement with that obtained from lattice simulations [11,15]. However, our earlier numerical studies [16] have shown that the resultant fermion propagator does retain some dependence on the choice of gauge.

The second vertex we consider is that proposed by Haeri [6] which, in Euclidean space, can be written as

$$\Gamma_\mu^{\rm H}(p,q) = i\left(\alpha_\mu S^{-1}(q) - S^{-1}(p)\alpha_\mu\right), \tag{5}$$

with $\alpha_\mu = [\gamma\cdot p\gamma_\mu + \gamma_\mu\gamma\cdot q]/[p^2-q^2]$, or alternatively:

$$\Gamma_\mu^{\rm H}(p,q) = \frac{p^2A(p)-q^2A(q)}{p^2-q^2}\gamma_\mu + \frac{A(p)-A(q)}{p^2-q^2}\not{p}\gamma_\mu\not{q} - i\frac{B(p)-B(q)}{p^2-q^2}(\gamma\cdot p\gamma_\mu + \gamma_\mu\gamma\cdot q). \tag{6}$$

$\Gamma_\mu^{\rm H}$ is easily seen to satisfy criteria (a) to (d) and must therefore be of the form Eq. (1).

It is interesting to note that the Haeri vertex is identical to the spectral representation of the vertex employed in the gauge technique [7,8]:

$$S(p)\Gamma_\mu(p,q)S(q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega\,\rho(\omega)\frac{1}{\not{p}-\omega}\gamma_\mu\frac{1}{\not{q}-\omega}, \tag{7}$$

where $\rho(\omega)$ is the spectral density of the fermion propagator:

$$S(p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega\,\frac{\rho(\omega)}{\not{p}-\omega}. \tag{8}$$

This result is true irrespective of whether the fermion aquires a mass and can be easily verified by direct substitution and comparison (after continuation of Eq. (5) to Minkowski space) [17].

Thirdly we consider the Ansatz of Curtis and Pennington (CP). In order to ensure multiplicative renormalisability, they have proposed a vertex for which the transverse part, $\Gamma_\mu^{\rm T_{CP}}$, takes the form [13,18]

$$\Gamma_\mu^{\rm T_{CP}}(p,q) = \frac{A(p)-A(q)}{2d(p,q)}\left[\gamma_\mu(p^2-q^2) - (p+q)_\mu(\gamma\cdot p - \gamma\cdot q)\right], \tag{9}$$

with

$$d(p,q) = \frac{(p^2-q^2)^2 + [M^2(p)+M^2(q)]^2}{p^2+q^2}, \tag{10}$$

where $M = B/A$, yielding the Ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_\mu^{\rm CP}(p,q) &= \Gamma_\mu^{\rm BC} + \Gamma_\mu^{\rm T_{CP}} \\ &= \frac{p^2A(p)-q^2A(q)}{p^2-q^2}\gamma_\mu + \frac{(p+q)_\mu}{p^2-q^2}\frac{A(p)-A(q)}{p^2-q^2}(p^2\gamma\cdot q - q^2\gamma\cdot p) \\ &\quad + B\text{-dependent parts.}\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For QED_4, the CP vertex gives a chirally symmetric fermion propagator which is exactly multiplicatively renormalisable at all momenta [19]. It has also been used in Landau gauge QED_3 in conjunction with a one-loop corrected photon propagator [20], with the result that chiral symmetry is broken irrespective of the number of fermion flavours.

III. THE QUENCHED SCHWINGER-DYSON EQUATION

We now turn our attention to a consideration of the quenched fermion SDE for QED_3 and QED_4:

$$1 = (i\gamma \cdot p + m)S(p) + e^2 \int \frac{d^{\mathrm{d}}q}{(2\pi)^{\mathrm{d}}} D_{\mu\nu}(p-q)\gamma_\mu S(q)\Gamma_\nu(q,p)S(p). \tag{12}$$

By quenched we mean that virtual fermion loops are ignored in the gauge boson propagator which corresponds to setting $\Pi(k) = 0$ in Eq. (41). Our aim is to study the gauge covariance properties of Eq. (12) with the Ansätze for the vertices described above. An Ansatz which leads to a fermion propagator which does not respond to a gauge transformation in the manner prescribed by the LK transformations, Eq. (38), can reasonably be eliminated. As we will see, this provides an additional constraint on the transverse part of the vertex.

A. Haeri Ansatz and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking

We will first consider Γ^{H}_μ of Eq. (6). An interesting observation is that, writing the propagator in the form

$$S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p) + \sigma_S(p) \tag{13}$$

and defining the partially amputed vertex

$$\Lambda^{\mathrm{H}}_\mu(p,q) = S(p)\Gamma^{\mathrm{H}}_\mu(p,q)S(q), \tag{14}$$

Eq. (12) provides two decoupled equations, one for σ_V and one for σ_S, when $m = 0$; i.e., for massless fermions. This is obvious upon inspection since Λ^{H}_μ involves σ_V multiplied only with odd numbers of γ matrices and σ_S multiplied only with even numbers. It is also worth noting that the equation for σ_S is always homogeneous and hence the solution is determined only up to an arbitrary multiplicative constant.

With Eq. (6) in Eq. (12) one always has the chiral symmetry preserving solution

$$S^{\mathrm{W}}(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma^{\mathrm{W}}_V(p) \tag{15}$$

for $m = 0$ and, in addition, it is also probable that the equation admits a dynamical chiral symmetry breaking solution for $m = 0$ which would have the form

$$S^{\rm NG} = -i\gamma\cdot p\sigma_V^{\rm W}(p) + \sigma_S^{\rm NG}(p). \tag{16}$$

This was the case, for example, in the phenomenological QCD studies of Ref. [21]. We remark that in Eq. (15) and Eq. (16) the vector part of the propagator is necessarily the same. This is essential to the argument that follows and is what sets this Ansatz apart for the others we consider.

The SDE is the stationary point equation for the CJT effective action [9] which, evaluated at this stationary point, is [22]:

$$V[S] = \int \frac{d^{\rm d}p}{(2\pi)^{\rm d}} \left[{\rm tr}\ln[1-\Sigma(p)S(p)] + \frac{1}{2}{\rm tr}[\Sigma(p)S(p)] \right]. \tag{17}$$

One might measure the relative stability of these extremals by evaluating the difference $V[S^{\rm NG}] - V[S^{\rm W}]$. For an Abelian gauge theory with N_f flavours of fermion one finds (for $d = 3$ or 4 since we use 4 component spinors) that

$$V[S^{\rm NG}] - V[S^{\rm W}] = 2N_f \int \frac{d^{\rm d}p}{(2\pi)^{\rm d}} \ln\left[1 + \frac{1}{p^2}\frac{\sigma_S^2(p)}{\sigma_V^2(p)} \right] > 0, \tag{18}$$

since it is reasonable to assume that σ_S and σ_V are real for real Euclidean p^2. (Since the equation for σ_S is homogeneous, this difference can, in fact, be made arbitrarily large: $\sigma_S \to \lambda\sigma_S$.) Hence, based on the CJT effective action (which is the same as the auxiliary field effective action at the stationary point) one finds that $\Gamma_\mu^{\rm H}$ cannot support dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.

B. Chirally Symmetric Solution and Gauge Covariance

For the remainder of this section we focus our attention on the chiral symmetry preserving solution of the massless SDE: $S(p) = -i\gamma\cdot p\sigma_V(p)$.

We first note that since

$$\int d\Omega_{\rm d} \frac{1}{(p-q)^2}\left(({\rm d}-3)p\cdot q + 2\frac{p\cdot(p-q)(p-q)\cdot q}{(p-q)^2} \right) \equiv 0 \tag{19}$$

then, in Landau gauge, Eq. (12) admits the free propagator solution

$$S(p) = \frac{1}{i\gamma\cdot p} \tag{20}$$

for each of the vertices discussed herein because of criterion (c). We therefore immediately have the important result that if a given vertex Ansatz is to satisfy the gauge covariance criterion then, for arbitrary ξ, the associated SDE must have the LK transform of the free field propagator as its solution (Eq. (38)).

In order to study this it is helpful to consider the massless SDE in configuration space:

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta^{\rm d}(x-y) = \gamma\cdot\partial^x S(x-y) + \\ &e^2\int d^{\rm d}z d^{\rm d}x' d^{\rm d}y' \gamma_\mu \left(D^{\rm T}_{\mu\nu}(x-z) + \partial^z\partial^z\Delta(x-z) \right) S(x-x')\Gamma_\nu(z;x',y')S(y'-y) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where we have explicitly divided the gauge-boson propagator into a sum of a transverse, gauge independent piece, $D^{\rm T}_{\mu\nu}$, and longitudinal, gauge dependent piece, Δ. Making use of the WT identity

$$\partial_\mu \Gamma_\mu(z;x',y') = S^{-1}(z-y')\delta^{\rm d}(x'-z) - \delta^{\rm d}(z-y')S^{-1}(x'-z) \tag{22}$$

and the identity $\int_x \gamma\cdot\partial^x S(x,x')S^{-1}(x',z) = \gamma\cdot\partial^x\delta^{\rm d}(x-z)$, one obtains the massless SDE in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{\rm d}(x-y) &= \gamma\cdot\partial^x S(x-y)\\ &\quad - e^2\left\{\int d^{\rm d}z[\gamma\cdot\partial^x\Delta(x-z)]\delta^{\rm d}(x-z) - [\gamma\cdot\partial^x\Delta(x-y)]\right\}S(x-y)\\ &\quad + e^2\int d^{\rm d}z d^{\rm d}x' d^{\rm d}y'\gamma_\mu D^{\rm T}_{\mu\nu}(x-z)S(x-x')\Gamma_\nu(z;x',y')S(y'-y).\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now it is clear by inspection that if

$$\int d^{\rm d}z d^{\rm d}x' d^{\rm d}y'\gamma_\mu D^{\rm T}_{\mu\nu}(x-z)S(x-x')\Gamma_\nu(z;x',y')S(y'-y) = 0\ ; \tag{24}$$

then Eq. (38), with $S(x;\xi=0)$ given in Eq. (44), is a solution of the massless SDE; i.e., it is a solution if the last term on the right hand side of Eq. (12) is identically zero in Landau gauge.

Most studies of the SDEs are undertaken in momentum space and it is a simple matter to transcribe Eqs. (23) and (24). We see that the solution of the SDE is LK covariant if

$$\int \frac{d^{\rm d}q}{(2\pi)^{\rm d}}\, D^{\rm T}_{\mu\nu}(p-q)\gamma_\mu S(q)\Gamma_\nu(q,p) = 0, \tag{25}$$

where $D^{\rm T}_{\mu\nu}(k) = (\delta_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu/k^2)/k^2$ in the quenched theory, in which case the propagator satisfies:

$$1 = i\gamma\cdot p S(p) + \xi e^2\int\frac{d^{\rm d}q}{(2\pi)^{\rm d}}\frac{i\gamma\cdot(p-q)}{(p-q)^4}\left[S(p)-S(q)\right] \tag{26}$$

in the covariant gauge fixing procedure.

It is now a simple matter to analyse the gauge covariance properties of our vertex Ansätze.

1. Ball-Chiu Ansatz

Using the BC vertex of Eq. (2) the QED$_3$ SDE takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}A(p) - 1 = \frac{-e^2}{4\pi^2 p^2}\int_0^\infty dq\,\frac{1}{A(q)}\Bigg[&\xi\left(\frac{p^2A(p)-q^2A(q)}{p^2-q^2} - \frac{p^2A(p)+q^2A(q)}{2pq}\ln\left|\frac{p+q}{p-q}\right|\right)\\ &- \left(1 - \frac{p^2+q^2}{2pq}\ln\left|\frac{p+q}{p-q}\right|\right)(p^2+q^2)\frac{A(p)-A(q)}{p^2-q^2}\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

while in QED$_4$ it is

$$A(p)-1=\frac{e^2}{8\pi^2p^2}\int_0^\infty dq\,\frac{q}{A(q)}\left\{\xi\left(A(p)\frac{p^2}{q^2}\theta(p-q)+A(q)\frac{q^2}{p^2}\theta(q-p)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{3}{4}\frac{A(p)-A(q)}{p^2-q^2}(p^2+q^2)\left(\frac{p^2}{q^2}\theta(p-q)+\frac{q^2}{p^2}\theta(q-p)\right)\right\},\tag{28}$$

It is clear that in neither of these equations is the right hand side identically zero in Landau gauge ($\xi = 0$) and therefore this vertex cannot have the correct LK transformation properties. (This had already been established numerically in Ref. [2] for QED$_3$.)

2. Haeri Ansatz

Using the Haeri Ansatz of Eq. (6) we find the following form of the SDE in QED$_3$:

$$A(p)-1=\frac{-e^2}{4\pi^2p^2}\int_0^\infty dq\,\frac{1}{A(q)}\left[\xi\left(\frac{p^2A(p)-q^2A(q)}{p^2-q^2}-\frac{p^2A(p)+q^2A(q)}{2pq}\ln\left|\frac{p+q}{p-q}\right|\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+2pq\ln\left|\frac{p+q}{p-q}\right|\frac{A(p)-A(q)}{p^2-q^2}\right],\tag{29}$$

while in QED$_4$ it takes the form:

$$A(p)-1=\frac{e^2}{8\pi^2p^2}\int_0^\infty dq\,\frac{q}{A(q)}\left\{\xi\left(A(p)\frac{p^2}{q^2}\theta(p-q)+A(q)\frac{q^2}{p^2}\theta(q-p)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-3\frac{A(p)-A(q)}{p^2-q^2}\left(p^2\theta(p-q)+q^2\theta(q-p)\right)\right\},\tag{30}$$

Again it is clear that the right hand side of these equations is not zero in Landau gauge and hence this vertex cannot have the LK transformation properties necessary to ensure gauge covariance.

3. Curtis-Pennington Ansatz

The CP vertex is a different matter. The QED$_3$ SDE is

$$A(p)-1=\frac{-e^2\xi}{4\pi^2p^2}\int_0^\infty dq\,\frac{1}{A(q)}\left(\frac{p^2A(p)-q^2A(q)}{p^2-q^2}-\frac{p^2A(p)+q^2A(q)}{2pq}\ln\left|\frac{p+q}{p-q}\right|\right),\tag{31}$$

in which the right hand side is clearly zero in Landau gauge. Hence this vertex, or at least that part of it which contributes to the SDE, has the form necessary to ensure gauge covariance of the chirally symmetric fermion propagator.

It is possible to solve this equation analytically. The solution, for $\xi > 0$, is

$$\frac{1}{A(p)}=1-\frac{e^2\xi}{8\pi p}\arctan\left(\frac{8\pi p}{e^2\xi}\right),\tag{32}$$

as it should be since this corresponds to the LK transform of the massles free fermion propagator in QED$_3$, as we show in the Appendix. (To obtain this result we first rewrote Eq. (31) in the form

$$1-\frac{1}{A(p)}=\frac{-e^2\xi}{8\pi^2 p}\left\{\int_0^\infty dq\,\frac{q}{A(q)}\frac{d}{dq}\left(\frac{1}{q}\ln\left|\frac{p+q}{p-q}\right|\right)-\frac{1}{p^2A(p)}\int_0^\infty dq\,q\frac{d}{dq}\left(q\ln\left|\frac{p+q}{p-q}\right|\right)\right\}. \quad (33)$$

Noting that the second integral in this equation is zero and using the identity

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty dx\,\frac{x}{1+x^2}ln\left|\frac{a+x}{a-x}\right|=\arctan a, \quad (34)$$

Eq. (32) follows.)

The SDE for QED_4 using the CP vertex is given in Ref. [19] and can be written formally as

$$A(p)-1=\frac{\xi\alpha_0}{4\pi p^2}\int_0^\infty dq^2\left[\theta(p^2-q^2)\frac{q^2}{p^2}+\theta(q^2-p^2)\frac{p^2}{q^2}\frac{A(p)}{A(q)}\right] \quad (35)$$

with $\alpha_0=e^2/(4\pi)$. In Ref. [19] this equation was solved by introducing an upper bound on the q^2 integral. The actual form of the solution depends on the manner in which the divergent momentum integral is regularised. However, the fact that the right hand side of Eq. (35) is proportional to ξ does not. This equation is, of course, Eq. (26) for d = 4 and hence the CP vertex also satisfies criterion (e) in QED_4.

To illustrate our discussion we present plots of numerical solutions for the function $1/A(p)$ in QED_3 obtained from the BC and Haeri vertex equations, (27) and (29), at $\xi=1$, Fig. 1, together with the CP vertex solution, Eq. (32), also at $\xi=1$. It is clear that the BC and Haeri vertices do not give the correct LK transformed bare propagator as a solution and so fail to maintain the gauge covariance of the SDE.

To close this section we remark that Eq. (25) provides us with a much needed additional constraint upon the vertex function which, while not a full implementation of criterion (e), nevertheless is a restriction on the form of the transverse part of the vertex:

(e′) In the absence of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking; i.e., for $\sigma_S\equiv 0$, the vertex must be such that Eq. (25) is satisfied,

where $D^{\rm T}_{\mu\nu}(k)$ is the transverse part of the quenched photon propagator.

IV. SUMMARY

The Schwinger-Dyson equation (SDE) approach to the solution of a gauge field theory provides an intuitively attractive manner in which to address this problem and one which is less computationally intensive than lattice gauge theory, for example. A serious impediment to this application is the apparent lack of gauge covariance in all SDE studies to the present. In the fermion SDE this can be traced to inadequacies in the structure of the approximate/truncated fermion–gauge-boson vertex used in these studies. Addressing this violation of gauge symmetry in QCD is made difficult by the presence of ghost fields, however, progress can be made with Abelian theories. In addition to being interesting in their own right, the outcome of these studies can provide some understanding

of necessary characteristics that should be incorporated in the construction of phenomenological, model SDEs for QCD. The results we have reported herein, which are summarised below, may be seen in this connection in addition to standing alone as a contribution to understanding gauge covariance in QED_3 and QED_4.

We have considered three different Ansätze for the vertex in the quenched, massless QED_3 and QED_4 fermion SDE: 1) that due to Ball and Chiu [1]; 2) that due to Haeri [6]; and 3) that due to Curtis and Pennington [13].

In considering Ansatz 2) we observed that it is identical to that employed in the gauge technique and that, based on the CJT effective action, this Ansatz cannot support dynamical chiral symmetry breaking since a solution with no dynamically generated fermion mass; i.e., a solution of the form $S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p)$, is always dynamically favoured in this case. The feature of this vertex which entails this is the fact that it yields decoupled equations for σ_V and σ_S (Eq. (13)) when the fermion bare mass is zero. Whenever this is the case the CJT effective action will predict that the chirally symmetric solution is dynamically favoured.

We obtained a necessary condition which must be satisfied by any vertex Ansatz if it is to confer gauge covariance on the quenched QED_3 and QED_4 SDEs. This condition is simple: the Ansatz must allow a free, massless propagator solution in Landau gauge; i.e., $S^{-1}(p) = i\gamma \cdot p$, which provides a much needed constraint on the transverse piece of the vertex, Eq. (25). Only if this is the case can the solution of the SDE respond to a change in the gauge parameter as prescribed by the LK transformations; i.e, can the solution be gauge covariant. Only Ansatz 3) satisfies this constraint and it satisfies it both in QED_3 and QED_4. In demonstrating this we obtained an analytic solution of the quenched, massless QED_3 SDE for arbitrary values of the gauge parameter in the absence of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.

In going beyond the quenched approximation Eq. (19) is modified as follows:

$$\frac{1}{(p-q)^2} \to \frac{1}{(p-q)^2}\frac{1}{1+\Pi(p-q)}, \tag{36}$$

where $\Pi(p-q)$ is the photon polarisation scalar. Subsequent to this modification it follows that the free, massless particle propagator is not a solution in Landau gauge for any vertex satisfying (c). In this case Eq. (23) combined with gauge covariance, Eq. (38), does not require Eq. (24).

We may thus conclude that Ansatz 3) has another desirable feature, in addition to those dicussed in Refs. [13,18,19]: that of ensuring gauge covariance of the quenched SDE, at least in the absence of dynamical mass generation. The other two Ansätze may be discarded since they manifestly cannot allow gauge covariance in QED_3 or QED_4. Hence, one may make the inference that these two Ansätze are less likely to provide a good starting point in phenomenological SDE studies in QCD than Ansatz 3).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Some of the calculations described herein were carried out using a grant of computer time and the resources of the National Energy Research Supercomputer Center. The work of CDR was supported by the Department of Energy, Nuclear Physics Division, under contract number W-31-109-ENG-38.

THE LANDAU & KHALATNIKOV TRANSFORMATIONS

As pointed out in Section I, one would like to restrict the vertex further by imposing condition (e), namely that the form of the vertex Ansatz, stated in terms of the dressed propagators, should be covariant under local gauge transformations. The gauge transformation laws relating the propagators and vertex of QED to their Landau gauge counterparts were first given by Landau and Khalatnikov [3]. These rules are most easily specified in coordinate space and we give below the corresponding Euclidean space transformation laws.

In an arbitrary gauge, the photon propagator is modified from its transverse, Landau gauge, form $D_{\mu\nu}(x;0)$ by the addition of a longitudinal piece parameterised by an arbitrary function Δ:

$$D_{\mu\nu}(x;\Delta) = D_{\mu\nu}(x;0) + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Delta(x). \tag{37}$$

The corresponding rule for the fermion propagator is

$$S(x;\Delta) = S(x;0)\mathrm{e}^{e^2[\Delta(0)-\Delta(x)]}, \tag{38}$$

Where e in the exponent is the gauge coupling constant. The rule for the fermion-photon vertex is

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu(x,y,z;\Delta) = {} & B_\mu(x,y,z;0)\mathrm{e}^{e^2[\Delta(0)-\Delta(x-y)]} \\ & + S(x-y;0)\mathrm{e}^{e^2[\Delta(0)-\Delta(x-y)]}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\mu}[\Delta(x-z)-\Delta(z-y)], \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where B_μ is the non-amputated vertex defined in momentum space in terms of the amputated vertex Γ_μ by

$$B_\mu(p,q) = S(p)\Gamma_\nu(p,q)S(q)D_{\mu\nu}(p-q). \tag{40}$$

One can check directly that these transformations leave the WT identity and SDE form-invariant [16].

In the usual covariant gauge fixing procedure the photon propagator takes the form

$$D_{\mu\nu}(k;\xi) = \frac{1}{k^2(1+\Pi(k^2))}\left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}\right) + \xi\frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^4}, \tag{41}$$

which is obtained by taking Δ in Eq. (37) to be

$$\Delta(x) = -\xi \int \frac{d^{\rm d}k}{(2\pi)^{\rm d}} \frac{e^{-ik\cdot x}}{k^4}. \tag{42}$$

Within this set of gauges, one finds that in QED_3 the tranformation rule for the fermion propagator, Eq. (38), becomes [23]

$$S(x;\xi) = S(x;0)e^{-e^2\xi|x|/8\pi}. \tag{43}$$

The free massless propagator is $S^{-1}(p;0) = i\gamma\cdot p$ which corresponds to the following function in configuration space:

$$S(x;0) = \frac{\gamma\cdot x}{4\pi|x|^3}. \tag{44}$$

Applying Eq. (38) one obtains the LK transformed function in an arbitrary covariant gauge:

$$S(x;\xi) = \frac{\gamma\cdot x}{4\pi|x|^3}e^{-e^2\xi|x|/8\pi}. \tag{45}$$

For $\xi > 0$ one may evaluate the Fourier amplitude directly to obtain

$$S(p;\xi) = \frac{-i\gamma\cdot p}{p^2}\left[1 - \frac{e^2\xi}{8\pi p}\arctan\left(\frac{8\pi p}{e^2\xi}\right)\right]. \tag{46}$$

For completeness we give a formula for the LK transform of the bare vertex γ_μ from Landau gauge to an arbitrary covariant gauge. Using Eqs. (37), (38) and (39) one obtains the transformation rule for the partially amputated vertex

$$\Lambda_\mu(p,q) = S(p)\Gamma_\mu(p,q)S(q). \tag{47}$$

which is simply:

$$\Lambda_\mu(x,y,z;\Delta) = \Lambda_\mu(x,y,z;0)e^{e^2[\Delta(0)-\Delta(x-y)]}. \tag{48}$$

If the vertex is equal to the bare vertex in Landau gauge,

$$\Lambda_\mu(p,q;0) = -\frac{\not{p}\gamma_\mu\not{q}}{p^2q^2}, \tag{49}$$

one finds that, for arbitrary ξ,

$$\Lambda_\mu(p,q;\xi) = \frac{-1}{16\pi^2}\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\mu\gamma_\beta\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_\alpha\partial q_\beta}\int d^3x\, d^3y\, e^{i(p\cdot x - q\cdot y)}e^{-e^2\xi|x-y|/8\pi}\frac{1}{|x|^3|y|^3}. \tag{50}$$

REFERENCES

[1] J. S. Ball and T.-W. Chiu, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2542 (1980).

[2] C. J. Burden and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 540 (1991).

[3] L.D. Landau and I. M. Khalatnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP **2**, 69 (1956); B.Zumino, J. Math. Phys. **1**, 1 (1960).

[4] R. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 2423 (1984).

[5] This is in the spirit of the *Euclidean Strategy* extensively explored by K. Symanzik, see; for example, K. Symanzik, *Euclidean Quantum Field Theory*, in Local Quantum Theory, ed. R. Jost, Academic Press (1969). See also the remarks following Eq. (2.4) in Ref [2].

[6] B. Haeri, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 2701 (1991).

[7] R. Delbourgo and P. West, J. Phys A **10**, 1049 (1977).

[8] A. B. Waites and R. Delbourgo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 6857 (1992).

[9] J. M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw and E. Tomboulis, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2428 (1974).

[10] H. Munczek, Phys. Lett. B, **175**, 215 (1986); C. J. Burden, C. D. Roberts and A. G. Williams, Phys. Lett. B **285**, 347 (1992).

[11] E. Dagotto, A. Kocic and J. B. Kogut, Nucl. Phys. B **334**, 270 (1990).

[12] C. D. Roberts and R. T. Cahill, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 1755 (1986).

[13] D. C. Curtis and M. R. Pennington, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 4165 (1990).

[14] M. R. Pennington and D. Walsh, Phys. Lett. B **253**, 246 (1991).

[15] C. J. Burden, *How can QED3 help us understand QCD4?*, to appear in the proceedings of the Workshop on QCD Vacuum Structure, Paris, June, 1992.

[16] C. J. Burden, J. Praschifka and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 2695 (1992).

[17] H. Munczek, Private communication.

[18] D. C. Curtis and M. R. Pennington, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 2663 (1992).

[19] D. C. Curtis and M. R. Pennington, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 536 (1991).

[20] D. C. Curtis, M. R. Pennington and D. Walsh, Phys. Lett. B **295**, 313 (1992).

[21] B. Haeri and M. B. Haeri, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3732 (1991).

[22] K. Stam, Phys. Lett. B **152**, 238 (1985).

[23] In ref. [16] the sign in the exponent of the equivalent formula, Eq.(39), should be minus instead of plus.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. This is a plot of $1/A(p)$ as a function of p in QED_3 with $\xi = 1$ (Feynman Gauge) and $e^2 = 1$. The solid line is Eq. (32), the analytic solution expected from the LK transformation; the numerical results are: $\star$ = Haeri Ansatz; $\triangle$ = Ball-Chiu Ansatz; and $\diamond$ = Curtis-Pennington Ansatz. Clearly, the Curtis-Pennington Ansatz yields the correct solution.